

GLOSSARY

Detailed below is explanation regarding the different items within the following outturn performance table

No	Number on indicator as shown in this table. Added to aid discussion and referencing.	
Definition	The name of the indicator.	
Ref	the official reference number. 'NI' = National Indicator, 'BV' = Best Value performance indicator, LAA and LPI = Local stretch indicators within the 2006-09 Local Area Agreement	
Good Perf	The direction the performance needs to travel to improve	
08/09 Actual	Previous year's performance	
Q3 Related Date	The end of the date period that the quarter data relates to	
Q3 Target	Level of achievement the service wished to reach within the quarter (can be the same or an increment towards the year end target)	
Q3 Perf	Level of this year's achievement reached by the end of the quarter	
On Target	Has the target been achieved? ✓ = Yes, ✗ = No, n/a or '-' = no targets set so unable to assess	
DOT	Direction of travel of performance compared to previous quarter ↑ = better than last year or top performance, ↓ = worse than last year, → = same as last year, - / n/a = comparison can't be made	
Year To Date	Year To Date. Performance assessment by corporate monitoring system Performance Plus as at December 2008 ★ Green Star - Above Target or top performance, ● Amber Circle -On Target, ▲ Red triangle - Below target	
Latest Comparative Data	Stat. Neigh.	The latest average for our Statistical Neighbour group. Used by Ofsted to assess performance to be a good authority we need to have the majority inline or better than this average. The information in brackets assesses our performance against this average.
	National	The latest National average. Used by Ofsted to assess performance to be a good authority we need to have the majority inline or better than this average. The information in brackets assesses our performance against this average.
Comments	If necessary further explanation of performance is summarised here. Examples include details of external influences, seasonal trends or impact of action. This is supplied by indicator managers and approved by directors, additional notes from Performance and Data team may be added to the comments column to aid explanation.	
09/10 Target	The current year end targets set by indicator managers.	
10/11 Target		
11/12 Target		

Abbreviations within the table

NI	National Indicator	LPI	Local Performance Indicator	Comparative Data Position	
PI	Performance Indicator	LAC	Looked after Children	(Better)	Our current performance is
BV	Best Value Performance Indicator	SEN	Special Educational Needs	(Inline)	Our current performance is
LAA	Local Area Agreement	PAF	Performance Assessment	(Worse)	Our current performance is

CYPS Performance Monitoring Table – Quarter 3 2009/10

No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Latest Comparative Data		09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
												Stat. Neigh.	National			
BEING HEALTHY																
1	Effectiveness of child and adolescent mental health (CAMHs) services [Simon Perry & NHS]	NI 51	HIGH	11	31 Dec 09	12	14	✓	↑	★	Score represents self assessment carried out by council and NHS against 4 set criteria. Maximum score is 16	13.3 (Better)	-	12	16	
2	Take up of school lunches	NI 52														
a	Primary	a	HIGH	40.5%	31 Dec 09	40.5%	45.8%	✓	↑	●	There has been a general increase in the take up of meals with primary meals approaching 2005 levels. Secondary meal take up has recovered in the last few months. The price freeze on school meals and all the marketing activity undertaken by the Operations Team and school based staff are having a significant impact.	48.07% (Worse)	-	40.5%	41.9%	42.3%
b	Secondary [Ron Parry - RMBC]	b	HIGH	34.2%		34.2%	37.2%	✗	↑	▲		44.75% (Worse)	-	34.2%	34.2%	34.5%
3	Prevalence of breastfeeding at 6–8 weeks from birth	NI 53														
a	Prevalence	a	HIGH	24.0%	30 Sep 09	30.0%	22.9%	✗	↓	▲	Data quality/collection has now improved. This has resulted in a more thorough data capture in quarter 2 however performance has deteriorated in terms of coverage and breastfeeding rates.	-	-	30%	32%	
b	Coverage [Anna Jones - NHS]	b	HIGH	77.0%		90.0%	75.3%	✗	↓	▲		90%	95%			
4	Obesity among primary school age children in Reception	NI 55														
	Prevalence	a	LOW	12%	31 Aug 09	10%	10% (outturn)	✓	↑	●	National Child Measurement Programme published data - See delivery plan for details	10.46% (Better)	9.6% (Worse)	10%	10	
	Coverage	b	HIGH	-		90%	94%	✓	↑	●		90%	90			
5	Obesity among primary school age children in Year 6 [NHS]	NI 56														
a	Prevalence	a	LOW	21%	31 Aug 09	18%	19% (outturn)	✗	↑	▲	National Child Measurement Programme published data - See delivery plan for details	19.83% (Better)	18.33% (Worse)	18%	18%	
b	Coverage	b	HIGH	-		86%	91%	✓	↑	★		86%	87%			

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
6	% schools achieving Healthy School Status in accordance with the 2005 NHSS criteria. LAA 2006-09 [Liz Galliver - RMBC]	LAA BH5	HIGH	84.5%	31 Dec 09	95.0%	96.8%	✓	↑	★	Target met and so will attract the reward grant.	-	-	95.0%	indicator deleted	-
STAYING SAFE																
7	Percentage of initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 7 working days of referral [Lyn Burns]	NI 59	HIGH	77.8%	31 Dec 09	80.0%	74.0%	✘	→	▲	This is an Improvement Plan PI and considerable focus is being given to driving up performance. A range of actions have been put in place to assist e.g. increased admin support, clearer targets, business process mapping, clarity of definition	71.70% yr. 2009 (Better)	72.00% (2009) (Better)	80.0%	81.0%	82.0%
8	Percentage of core assessments for children's social care that were carried out within 35 working days of their commencement [Lyn Burns]	NI 60	HIGH	84.9%	31 Dec 09	80.0%	72.0%	✘	↑	▲	Included in Improvement Plan. Considerable action in place to improve compliance e.g. additional admin support, definition clarity, review of ICS documentation to reduce duplication, clear targets	80.50% yr. 2009 (Worse)	78.00% yr. 2009 (Worse)	80.0%	87.0%	87.5%
9	Timeliness of placements of looked after children for adoption following an agency decision that the child should be placed for adoption [Sue May]	NI 61	HIGH	87.5%	31 Dec 09	83.0%	53.0%	✘	↑	▲	We continue to monitor timeliness of placements and current performance is improving markedly. As this indicator measures historic information measured after the event at the point of adoption current improvements will not reflect immediately on the indicator	77.79% yr. 2009 (-)	75.80% yr. 2009 (-)	83.0%	85.0%	86.0%
10	Stability of placements of looked after children: number of placements [Sue May]	NI 62	LOW	13.3%	31 Dec 09	11.0%	11.1%	✘	↓	▲	Performance is almost on target, improvements in placement choice and stability will assist in maintaining progress	10.79% yr. 2009 (Worse)	10.70% yr. 2009 (Worse)	11.0%	10.5%	10.0%

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
11	Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more [Annie Redmond]	NI 64	LOW	4.8%	31 Dec 09	4.5%	1.3%	✓	↑	★	Performance in this area has been maintained within projected targets	5.17% yr. 2009 (Better)	6.00% yr. 2009 (Better)	4.5%	4.0%	3.5%
12	Percentage of children becoming the subject of a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time [Annie Redmond]	NI 65	LOW	10.6%	31 Dec 09	11.0%	11.3%	✘	↑	▲	Although the numbers of children / young people becoming subject to a plan for a second or subsequent time has increased, good performance in this area is described as being between 10 and 15%	11.70% yr. 2009 (Better)	13.00% yr. 2009 (Better)	11.0%	11.0%	10.5%
13	Looked After Children cases which were reviewed within required timescales [Annie Redmond]	NI 66	HIGH	85.4%	31 Dec 09	92.0%	96.5%	✓	↑	★	Improved performance has been maintained. However monitoring will need to continue as performance remains vulnerable to limited resources.	92.42% yr. 2009 (Better)	90.90% yr. 2009 (Better)	92.0%	93.0%	94.0%
14	Percentage of child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales [Annie Redmond]	NI 67	HIGH	100.0%	31 Dec 09	100.0%	100.0%	✓	↑	★	Following the unanticipated procedural error in the 2nd quarter, performance in the area has returned to the previous excellent standard	99.8% yr. 2009 (Worse)	99.0% yr. 2009 (Better)	100%	100%	100%
15	Percentage of referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment [Lyn Burns]	NI 68	HIGH	57.6%	31 Dec 09	65.0%	59.9%	✘	↑	▲	Target has increased to reflect Improvement Notice. Concerns about number of people making decision about whether IA to be done (up to 15) this is being considered as part fo review of configuration of fieldwork	69.10% yr. 2009 (Better)	64.00% yr. 2009 (Worse)	65.0%	57.0%	60.0%

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
16	Children who have run away from home/care overnight [Morri McDermott]	NI 71	HIGH	14	31 Dec 09	15	11	✘	↓	▲	Assessment downgraded in two areas dropping 2 points since last quarter. This reflects discussion with partner agencies in Sheffield, Doncaster & Barnsley & is largely due to concerns from the Police who are currently unable to provide the level of detail both in depth and in timescale. We continue to meet with our regional partners & Police to look to improve in areas. We expect that in the next quarter we may be able to increase by one & work towards further improvement. We are commencing a review of the Protocol once again.	8.80 yr. 2009 (-)	8.70 yr. 2009 (-)	15	15	-
ENJOYING AND ACHIEVING																
17	Achievement of at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal Social and Emotional Development and Communication, Language and Literacy [David Light]	NI 72	HIGH	44%	31 Aug 09	46.6%	50.4% (outturn)	✓	↑	★	•There was a further increase in results of 6.2% in 2009. This is above the increase nationally by 3.2%. Rotherham was the 15th most improved LA this year. The statutory target was exceeded by 3.8%	49.9% (2009) (Better)	52.0% (2009) (Worse)	46.6%	53%	

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
18	Achievement at level 4 or above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2 (Threshold) [David Light]	NI 73	HIGH	68%	31 Aug 09	78%	68% (outturn)	x	↑	▲	This indicator remained broadly static in 2009 against a national decline of 1% and a decline of 1% in the average of our statistical neighbours but remains 4% below the national average. Under performance is challenged and schools supported to address underperformance at pupil level and school level. Support to schools is detailed in the delivery plan	72.4% (2009) (Worse)	72% (2009) (Worse)	78%	79%	
19	Achievement of 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths [David Light]	NI 75	HIGH	40.9%	31 Aug 09	50%	47% (outturn)	x	↑	▲	The improvement of 6.1% in 2009 was 4% above the national average increase, Improvement in the standards for both English and Mathematics A*-C contributed towards the increase in this indicator. Rotherham was the 13th most improved LA nationally.	46.25% (2009) (Better)	49.7% (2009) (Worse)	50%	54%	
20	Reduction in number of schools where fewer than 65% of pupils achieve level 4 or above in both English and Maths at KS2 [David Light]	NI 76	LOW	16	31 Aug 09		13 (outturn)		↑		The number of schools below floor targets was reduced by 3% in 2009. This is a reduction of 9% from 2006. However this is 5% above the national average. School Improvement Partners challenge under performance and schools supported to address underperformance at pupil level and school level	7.9% (2009) (Worse)	N/A	-	-	
21	Secondary schools judged as having good or outstanding standards of behaviour [Katy Edmondson]	NI 86	HIGH	69%	31 Dec 09	85%	69%	x	↓	▲	One school re-inspected after 3 year cycle has dropped from good to satisfactory decreasing the performance of this indicator.	67.67% yr. 2008 (Better)	76.10% yr. 2008 (Worse)	85%	90%	100%

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
22	Number of Extended Schools [Helen Shaw]	NI 88	HIGH	60%	31 Dec 09	85%	93%	✓	↑	★	93% of schools are now meeting the basic core offer for Extended Services. The TDA are due to review our target in February 2010. Depending upon the result of this our progress may move from green to amber. However there are strategies in place to support schools overcome the barriers they currently have to overcome to achieve full in delivering the core offer by September 2010.	-	-	85%	100%	100%
23	Reduction of number of schools judged as requiring special measures and improvement in time taken to come out of the category [David Light]	NI 89			31 Dec 09						There has been no school in Special measures since December 2006. This is a major area of success for the LA and schools					
a	Number	a	LOW	0		0	0	✓	↑	★		-	-	0	0	0
b	Time	b	LOW	0		0	0	✓	↑	★		-	-	0	0	0
24	Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20% in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest [David Light]	NI 92	LOW	44.4%	31 Aug 09	36.7%	35.8%	✓	↑	●	The gap was significantly reduced in 2009 by 8.6%. This had reduced the gap against national from 8.8% in 2008 to 1.9% in 2009. The target was exceeded by 0.9%	34.69 (worse)	33.9 (worse)	36.7	34.9	
25	Looked after children reaching level 4 in English at Key Stage 2 [David Light]	NI 99	HIGH	41.7%	30 Sep 09	33.3%	29.4% (outturn)	✗	↓	▲	Outcomes have been adversely affected by individual changes in care circumstances.	50.6 (Worse)	46.0 (worse)	33.3	28.9	
26	Looked after children reaching level 4 in Maths at Key Stage 2 [David Light]	NI 100	HIGH	50.0%	30 Sep 09	33.3%	29.4% (outturn)	✗	↓	▲	Outcomes have been adversely affected by individual changes in care circumstances.	46.67 (worse)	44 (worse)	33.3	38.9	

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
27	Looked after children achieving 5 A*-C GCSEs (or equivalent) at Key Stage 4 (including English and Maths) [David Light]	NI 101	HIGH	90.3%	30 Sep 09	3.4%	4.2% (outturn)	✓	↓	●	Target was achieved. Although the direction of this indicator is showing as down in this year's cohort two young people achieved 5 or above GCSE A--C level with the other individual at BTEC level equivalent to 4A. (Not including English and Maths.) Also amongst this cohort 6 young people at special schools didn't take any exams. Circumstances related to Care have impacted on achievements.	-	-	3.40%	30%	
28	Special Educational Needs – statements issued within 26 weeks	NI 103														
a	Excluding exceptions	a	HIGH	100.0%	31 Dec 09	95.0%	100.00%	✓	↑	★	Indicator continues to perform above target with part a at top performance. For part b there were two statements outside the 26wk timescale (in the 2nd quarter) both were due to a change in parental preference made after the 15 day deadline. All final statements issued in this quarter were issued within timescales.	97.2% (Better)	91% (Better)	95.0%	95%	95%
b	Including exceptions	b	HIGH	95.9%		90.0%	97.2%	✓	↑	★		94.4% (Better)	82% (Better)	90.0%	92%	94%
MAKING A POSITIVE CONTRIBUTION																
29	Rate of proven re-offending by young offenders [Paul Grimwood]	NI 19	LOW	69.0%	30 Sep 09	24.0%	16.0%	✓	↑	★	New Cohort Jan-Mar 09 Less than same period for last year 08/09 17.77 per 100 after 3 months. Note due to the reduction in First Time Entrants cohort numbers are less than the previous year and represent a population of more serious offenders. There is therefore the risk that re-offending will increase more sharply than last years performance.	67.67% yr. 2008 (Better)	76.10% yr. 2008 (Worse)	0.96	0.97	-

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
30	Young people within the Youth Justice System receiving a conviction in court who are sentenced to custody [Paul Grimwood]	NI 43	LOW	9.7%	30 Sep 09	7.5%	9.5%	✘	↑	▲	Custody rates have dropped for the last Quarter (Jul-Sep 09) but continue to be above the target rate. New legislation being introduced at the end of November will bring changes to the threshold of custody which may have a favourable impact.	5.49 (worse)	-	7.5%	5.0%	
31	Young offenders' engagement in suitable education, training and employment [Paul Grimwood]	NI 45	HIGH	72.6%	30 Sep 09	75.6%	70.7%	✘	↑	▲	Slight improvement in what is traditionally difficult quarter as many young people leave school and seek employment.	72.48 (worse)	-	75.6%	78.0%	
32	Young offenders' access to suitable accommodation [Paul Grimwood]	NI 46	HIGH	97.6%	30 Sep 09	97.9%	98.9%	✓	↓	●	One young person was homeless when her order ended, she was still working with YOS Accommodation Officer. (July – September 2008 figures was 141/145 (97.4%))	96.28 (Better)	-	97.9%	98.0%	
33	First time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10 – 17 [Paul Grimwood]	NI 111	LOW		30 Sep 09						Progress against this target is an example of good partnership working. The establishment of PYPPO's and Early Intervention Teams as well as the introduction of Triage have all contributed to this outcome.					
	a	Number		a		374	257	158	✓	↑		★	-	-	535	514
b	Per 100,000 10-17 Population	b		1,406	990	594	✓	↑	★			-	-	1,980	1,900	
34	Prevalence of Chlamydia in under 24 year olds [Melanie Simmonds - NHS]	NI 113			31 Dec 09						Sexual Health week in December was a huge success and has resulted in a large number of young people accessing screening. A GP locally enhanced service has been created and sent out for expressions of interest. It is anticipated that this will bring greater numbers being screened within primary care.					
	a	Coverage	a	HIGH		19.73%	15.67%	13.2%	✘	↑		▲	16.19 (Worse)	-	25.0%	35.0%
b	Prevalence	b	LOW	0.089		N/A	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
ACHIEVING ECONOMIC WELLBEING																
35	16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, training or employment (NEET) [Karen Borthwick]	NI 117	LOW	6.9%	31 Dec 09	8.0%	7.9%	✓	↑	●	Significant work has been undertaken to provide a September guarantee of a learning place for all 16 and 17 year olds which has resulted in a positive trend reduction in NEET over the last three months bring the percentage in line with the annual target. NEET as of 30th November stood at 831 young people 7.9% as compared to 754 (7.0%) at the same point last year. Annual comparisons show a sharp rise in 18 year olds unemployed from 8.4% (Nov 2008) to 10.1% (Nov 2009) of the cohort is much higher than of 16 year olds (4.6^ to 5.2%) and 17 year olds (7.4% to 7.8%) over the same period.	8.56% yr. 2008 (Worse)	6.70% yr. 2008 (Worse)	8.0%	7.10%	-
36	Care leavers in suitable accommodation [Sue May]	NI 147	HIGH	94.7%	31 Dec 09	95.0%	100.0%	✓	↑	★	All Care Leavers are currently in suitable accommodation. Maintenance of this position is a priority though it is likely that on occasion a care leaver will chose to live in unsuitable accommodation and therefore 100% will not always be achievable.	89.29% yr. 2009 (Better)	89.60% yr. 2009 (Better)	95.0%	92%	-

												Latest Comparative Data				
No	Definition	Ref	Good Perf	08/09 Actual	Q3 Related Date	Q3 Target	Q3 Perf	On Target	DOT	Year to Date	Commentary	Stat. Neigh.	National	09/10 Target	10/11 Target	11/12 Target
37	Care leavers in employment, education or training [Sue May]	NI 148	HIGH	55.3%	31 Dec 09	65.0%	54.0%	x	↓	▲	This quarter the cohort increased by 2 young people, one in employment one not able to work due to mental health issues. The quarter 4 cohort is expected to increase again by 9 young people. 7 of these are currently in EET whilst 2 are not but concerted work to engage them is ongoing. By year end we will have 64% in EET at current performance but attempts ongoing to improve against this prediction. A full progress report and action plan is available if required. performance but attempts ongoing to improve against this prediction. A full progress report and action plan is available if required.	58.15% yr. 2009 (Worse)	63.00% yr. 2009 (Worse)	65.0%	70%	-